

# Planning Committee

**Date: Wednesday, 21st September, 2022**

**Time: 11.00 am**

**Venue: Brunswick Room - Guildhall, Bath**

## Agenda

To: All Members of the Planning Committee

**Councillors:-** Sue Craig, Sally Davis, Shelley Bromley, Paul Crossley, Lucy Hodge, Duncan Hounsell, Shaun Hughes, Dr Eleanor Jackson, Hal MacFie and Brian Simmons

**Permanent Substitutes:-** Councillors: Rob Appleyard, Vic Clarke, Michael Evans, Andrew Furse, Liz Hardman, Ruth Malloy, Vic Pritchard, Matt McCabe, Manda Rigby and Ryan Wills

Chief Executive and other appropriate officers  
Press and Public

The agenda is set out overleaf.



**Corrina Haskins**

**Democratic Services**

Lewis House, Manvers Street, Bath, BA1 1JG

Telephone: 01225 39 4435

Web-site - <http://www.bathnes.gov.uk>

E-mail: [Democratic\\_Services@bathnes.gov.uk](mailto:Democratic_Services@bathnes.gov.uk)

## NOTES:

1. **Inspection of Papers:** Papers are available for inspection as follows:

Council's website: <https://democracy.bathnes.gov.uk/ieDocHome.aspx?bcr=1>

Paper copies are available for inspection at the Guildhall - Bath.

2. **Details of decisions taken at this meeting** can be found in the minutes which will be circulated with the agenda for the next meeting. In the meantime, details can be obtained by contacting as above.

3. **Recording at Meetings:-**

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 now allows filming and recording by anyone attending a meeting. This is not within the Council's control. Some of our meetings are webcast. At the start of the meeting, the Chair will confirm if all or part of the meeting is to be filmed. If you would prefer not to be filmed for the webcast, please make yourself known to the camera operators. We request that those filming/recording meetings avoid filming public seating areas, children, vulnerable people etc; however, the Council cannot guarantee this will happen.

The Council will broadcast the images and sounds live via the internet [www.bathnes.gov.uk/webcast](http://www.bathnes.gov.uk/webcast). The Council may also use the images/sound recordings on its social media site or share with other organisations, such as broadcasters.

4. **Public Speaking at Meetings**

The Council has a scheme to encourage the public to make their views known at meetings. They may make a statement relevant to what the meeting has power to do. They may also present a petition or a deputation on behalf of a group.

**Advance notice is required not less than two working days before the meeting. This means that for Planning Committee meetings held on Wednesdays, notice must be received in Democratic Services by 5.00pm the previous Monday.**

Further details of the scheme can be found at:

<https://democracy.bathnes.gov.uk/ecCatDisplay.aspx?sch=doc&cat=12942>

5. **Emergency Evacuation Procedure**

When the continuous alarm sounds, you must evacuate the building by one of the designated exits and proceed to the named assembly point. The designated exits are signposted. Arrangements are in place for the safe evacuation of disabled people.

6. **Supplementary information for meetings**

Additional information and Protocols and procedures relating to meetings

<https://democracy.bathnes.gov.uk/ecCatDisplay.aspx?sch=doc&cat=13505>

## Planning Committee- Wednesday, 21st September, 2022

at 11.00 am in the Brunswick Room - Guildhall, Bath

### AGENDA

#### 1. EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

The Chairman will ask the Democratic Services Officer to draw attention to the emergency evacuation procedure.

#### 2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

#### 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

At this point in the meeting declarations of interest are received from Members in any of the agenda items under consideration at the meeting. Members are asked to indicate:

(a) The agenda item number and site in which they have an interest to declare.

(b) The nature of their interest.

(c) Whether their interest is **a disclosable pecuniary interest** *or* an **other interest**, (as defined in Part 2, A and B of the Code of Conduct and Rules for Registration of Interests)

Any Member who needs to clarify any matters relating to the declaration of interests is recommended to seek advice from the Council's Monitoring Officer before the meeting to expedite dealing with the item during the meeting.

#### 4. TO ANNOUNCE ANY URGENT BUSINESS AGREED BY THE CHAIRMAN

#### 5. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC - TO RECEIVE STATEMENTS, PETITIONS OR QUESTIONS

To note that, regarding planning applications to be considered, members of the public who have given the requisite notice to Democratic Services will be able to make a statement to the Committee immediately before their respective applications are considered. There will be a time limit of 3 minutes for each proposal, i.e., 3 minutes for the Parish and Town Councils, 3 minutes for the objectors to the proposal and 3 minutes for the applicant, agent and supporters. This allows a maximum of 9 minutes per proposal.

#### 6. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (Pages 7 - 16)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 24 August 2022 as a correct record.

#### 7. SITE VISIT LIST - APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION ETC FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE

There are no site visit applications for determination.

8. MAIN PLANS LIST - APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION ETC FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE (Pages 17 - 52)

The following applications will be considered in the morning session starting at 11am:

1. 22/00687/REG03 Storage Yard Adjacent to Argyle Works, Lower Bristol Road, Westmoreland, Bath
2. 22/01753/FUL 24 The Tynning, Widcombe, Bath

9. NEW PLANNING APPEALS LODGED, DECISIONS RECEIVED AND DATES OF FORTHCOMING HEARINGS/INQUIRIES (Pages 53 - 56)

The Committee is asked to note the report.

The Democratic Services Officer for this meeting is Corrina Haskins who can be contacted on 01225 394357.

Delegated List Web Link: <http://www.bathnes.gov.uk/services/planning-and-building-control/view-and-comment-planning-applications/delegated-report>

**PLANNING COMMITTEE****Minutes of the Meeting held**

Wednesday, 24th August, 2022, 11.00 am

**Councillors:** Sue Craig (Chair), Sally Davis (Vice-Chair), Shelley Bromley, Paul Crossley, Lucy Hodge, Duncan Hounsell, Shaun Hughes, Dr Eleanor Jackson, Hal MacFie and Brian Simmons

**30 EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE**

The Democratic Services Officer read out the emergency evacuation procedure.

**31 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS**

There were no apologies for absence or substitutions.

**32 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Cllr Shaun Hughes declared that he had already stated his objection to the application 21/02973/OUT Parcel 3589, Silver Street, Midsomer Norton (item 1 under the main applications list) and would not participate in the debate or vote, but he would address the Committee as adjacent ward member.

Cllr Eleanor Jackson confirmed that she had declared an interest previously in relation to application 21/02973/OUT Parcel 3589, Silver Street, Midsomer Norton (item 1 under the main applications list) as she had objected to an associated application for a housing development which had now been approved by Mendip District Council. She confirmed that as this application had been determined, she did not have an interest in relation to 21/02973/OUT which was only concerned with the access road, footpath and cycle links, open space, landscaping and associated works access.

Cllr Lucy Hodge declared that she had already stated her objection to the planning application 20/02964/FUL Lansdown Lawn Tennis & Squash Racquets Club, Northfields, Lansdown (item 2 under the main applications list) and therefore would not participate in the debate or vote, but she would address the Committee as local ward member.

**33 TO ANNOUNCE ANY URGENT BUSINESS AGREED BY THE CHAIR**

There was no urgent business.

**34 ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC - TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS, STATEMENTS, PETITIONS OR QUESTIONS**

The Democratic Services Officer informed the meeting that there were a number of people wishing to make statements on planning applications and that they would be

able to do so when these items were discussed.

### 35 **MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

Cllr Eleanor Jackson moved that the minute be confirmed as a correct record, this was seconded by Cllr Shelley Bromley and:

**RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 27 July 2022 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

### 36 **SITE VISIT LIST - APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION ETC FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE**

There were no site visit applications for consideration.

### 37 **MAIN PLANS LIST - APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION ETC FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE**

The Committee considered:

A report by the Head of Planning on various planning applications and update report in relation to items 1, 2, 3 and 4 under the main applications list.

Oral statements by members of the public and representatives. A copy of the speakers' list is attached as Appendix 1 to these minutes.

**RESOLVED** that in accordance with the delegated powers, the applications be determined as set out in the Main decisions list attached as Appendix 2 to these minutes.

#### **Item No. 1**

**Application No: 21/02973/OUT**

**Site Location: Parcel 3589, Silver Street, Midsomer Norton**

The Case Officer introduced the report which was an application for an access road, footpath and cycle links, open space, landscaping and associated works relating to a housing development site in the adjacent Mendip District area and had been deferred from the meeting of 29 June to allow officers to investigate the feasibility of securing a pedestrian crossing as part of the development. She reported that Mendip District Council had since approved the associated application.

She confirmed that officers considered that securing a pedestrian crossing was feasible in view of the increased use of the junction that would occur as a result of the development, and the officer recommendation was that officers be delegated to permit the application subject to the conditions in the report and the completion of a Section 106 Agreement to secure the following financial contributions:

1. £10,000 towards improving local bus infrastructure.
2. £392,300.77 towards the Somer Valley Enterprise Zone Cycleway.
3. £21, 285 towards Targeted Training and Recruitment.
4. £488,255 towards Green Space and Parks Infrastructure.

5. £180,419.53 towards a controlled pedestrian/cycle crossing on Silver Street.

The following public representations were received:

1. Gordon MacKay, Midsomer Norton Parish Council, requested that developer contributions provide safe walking/cycling access to nearby key locations in Midsomer Norton.
2. Rosie Dinnen, agent, speaking in support of the application.

Cllr Shaun Hughes declared an interest and withdrew from the Committee and made the following points as the adjacent local member:

1. He was opposed to the application for the housing development in the Mendip area and the associated access application as he did not consider there to be any benefits for the community in Midsomer Norton.
2. This application would add many vehicle journeys to Silver Street and was a backward step in tackling the climate emergency.
3. Silver Street was already at capacity and the road and junctions were gridlocked. The condition of the road deteriorated within the Mendip boundary.
4. Mendip District Council had not made an effort to improve infrastructure. If the Committee was minded to permit the application, the £1m contribution should be allocated to solve the problems of infrastructure.

In response to Members questions, it was confirmed:

1. The main housing development had been approved along with the previously agreed access into the site, and this application only related to access road, footpath and cycle links, open space, landscaping and associated works. If the Committee was minded to refuse the application there would be no permeability between the 2 developments.
2. The money secured for contributions was for specific projects and had met the tests for being reasonable and justifiable and could not be diverted to spend on other projects. The contribution towards bus infrastructure improvements would be spent on areas identified by Highways Officers as set out in the report. It was the view of officers that the limit of negotiations had been reached and it would not be justified or defensible to ask for further contributions.
3. Highways Officers had assessed 5 junctions in detail and the proposed impact of the development was not enough to justify a contribution.
4. The Council had made representations at the Mendip Local Plan examination in public about the cumulative impact of developments adjacent to B&NES but this was not taken on board by the Inspector.

Councillor Duncan Hounsell stated that he believed officers had achieved as much as possible in terms of contributions and moved the officer recommendation to permit the development subject to the Section 106 Agreement to secure the contributions outlined in the report. This was seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley.

Cllr Eleanor Jackson expressed concern that the contribution to local bus infrastructure was not adequate and that the contribution towards parks and green spaces may not benefit the parks nearest to the development.

Cllr Hal MacFie stated that he felt that there should be a higher contribution to address highways issues.

Cllr Shelley Bromley stated that she would support the motion, but was concerned that the Council had no control over the provision of bus services and there could be an increase in traffic if bus services were at risk in the future.

On being put to the vote the motion was CARRIED (6 in favour and 3 against)

**RESOLVED** that officers be delegated to permit the application subject to the conditions set out in the report and the completion of a Section 106 Agreement to secure the following financial contributions:

1. £10,000 towards improving local bus infrastructure.
2. £392,300.77 towards the Somer Valley Enterprise Zone Cycleway.
3. £21, 285 towards Targeted Training and Recruitment.
4. £488,255 towards Green Space and Parks Infrastructure.
5. £180,419.53 towards a controlled pedestrian/cycle crossing on Silver Street.

### **Item No. 2 Application No: 20/02964/FUL**

#### **Site Location: Lansdown Lawn Tennis & Squash Racquets Club, Northfields, Lansdown**

The Case Officer introduced the report regarding the application for the installation of 12 floodlights on tennis courts 8, 9 and 10 at Lansdown Lawn Tennis and Squash Racquets Club. She gave a verbal update to confirm that in relation to Public Sector Equality Duty, no equalities impact had been identified as a result of the assessment.

The Case Officer confirmed her recommendation that the application be permitted subject to the conditions set out in the report.

The following public representations were received:

1. Julian Lewis, local resident, objecting to the application (read out in his absence).
2. John Morgan, for the applicant, speaking in support of the application.

Cllr Lucy Hodge, withdrew from the committee as she had previously submitted an objection in relation to the application but raised the following points speaking as local ward member:

1. The tennis club was located in a residential and conservation area.
2. Objections had been raised by all neighbours relating to the detrimental impact on visual and residential amenity, the value of dark skies and the lack of evidence demonstrating need.
3. Policy D8 of the Core Strategy & Placemaking Plan stated that proposals for artificial lighting should 'have no detrimental impact on visual and residential amenity'.
4. The Council's Ecologist had commented that the light spill would be high.
5. 8 out of the 11 courts were already floodlit and there was evidence that the lighting was left on beyond the agreed hours of use.
6. The late revision to the plans included a 3m hedge and taller posts which had raised further objections.

She asked the Committee to refuse the application.

Cllr Mark Elliot, local ward member, was unable to attend the meeting but submitted



a statement which was read out by the Democratic Services Officer:

1. Floodlighting the courts would allow the club more flexibility in the winter months, but this had to be weighed against the reduction in residential amenity of the people living in the neighbouring properties.
2. All the other courts with floodlighting had significant space between the courts and neighbouring residential properties. This was not the case with this application with properties closely bordering the courts.
3. On balance the advantage to the club members should not outweigh the damage to the residential amenity of the surrounding residents.

He requested that the committee reject the application.

In response to Members questions, it was confirmed:

1. The Case Officer did not disagree with the comments of the ecological assessment that further improvements could be made, but there was no outright objection from the Council's Ecologist and the scheme was not considered to be refusible on ecological grounds.
2. The maximum values of illuminance on nearby properties were outlined in the report, the guidance was below 5.0 lux and the highest was the Coach House at 3.1 lux.
3. The time of use had been limited to 9pm due to the location near residential properties. There had been variations on the previous applications, but any variation to the timings in relation to this application would need to be the subject of a further application.
4. The original application was submitted in 2020 and there had been several revised plans since that time including the latest design statement in March 2022.

Cllr Eleanor Jackson raised the issue of impact on neighbouring residents and proposed that a decision be deferred pending a site visit to give the opportunity for the Committee to see the proximity of the club to the neighbouring properties. This was seconded by Cllr Shelley Bromley.

Cllr Shaun Hughes concurred that a site visit would be useful to understand the layout and topography.

Cllr Duncan Hounsell stated that he did not consider a site visit to be necessary as the key information was contained within the officer's report and details about lighting and in relation to Policy D8, consideration needed to be given to whether loss of amenity was significant. He stated that the capacity of the tennis club would increase by 30% and there was a public health benefit in providing additional facilities.

On voting to the motion it was NOT CARRIED (4 in favour and 5 against).

Cllr Shaun Hughes stated that he believed the detrimental impact on residential amenity outweighed the public benefits. Cllr Shelley Bromley agreed that as a private members club, there were no widespread community benefits associated with the application.

Cllr Paul Crossley moved the officer's recommendation that permission be granted. He asked the club to work to improve access to all communities. This was seconded by Cllr Sally Davis.

Cllr Eleanor Jackson stated that she did not support the motion as she considered the application would result in an unacceptable loss of amenity to residents.

On voting for the motion, it was CARRIED (5 in favour and 4 against)

**RESOLVED** that the application be permitted subject to the conditions set out in the report.

Items 3 and 4 were considered together.

#### **Item No. 3&4**

**Application No: 22/02560/FUL & 22/01578/LBA**

**Site Location: 31 St Mark's Road, Widcombe, Bath**

The Case Officer introduced the report relating to the application to widen the existing opening in a stone wall at a grade II listed property and the installation of a charging point for an electric car. She confirmed that the applicants had submitted a revised plan which moved the left-hand stone pier by 150mm and the right-hand pier by 300mm in an attempt to overcome the highway objection, however Highways Officers confirmed that this would not achieve the required 1.5m either side of the last dropped kerb stone. She reminded the Committee that any public benefits must be balanced against the harm to the listed building and that officers' view was that the installation of an electric charging point could be achieved without altering the wall and that the increase in parking pressures caused by the loss on 1 on-street parking space would outweigh public benefits. She confirmed the officer recommendation was to refuse the application.

The following public representations were received:

1. Adam Elmes, applicant, speaking in support of the application.

Cllr Winston Duguid, in attendance as local member, raised the following points on behalf of himself and the other local member, Cllr Alison Born:

1. The applicants were seeking to reduce their carbon footprint by the use of an electric charging vehicle, and this was in line with the Council's declaration of a climate emergency.
2. St Mark's Road had changed over the years and there had been alterations to the stone wall and piers on other properties.
3. The applicants were not looking to demolish the wall and piers but to restore them.
4. The applicants did not qualify for an on-street residents' parking permit as they had a parking space on their property which was difficult to access due to the narrow entrance.

He urged the Committee to support the application.

In response to Members' questions, it was confirmed:

1. The rod iron gate displayed on earlier photographs was no longer present and the gate posts were designed to align to the wall of the villa.
2. The stone wall was in need of renovation, but this could be achieved in situ.
3. If the Committee were minded to permit the application, further details would

- be required on the location of the electric charging point.
4. The applicants did not qualify for a parking permit as their property had an off-street parking space. The concern of Highways Officers was that widening the driveway would result in a conflict between the off-street and on-street parking.
  5. The 1.5m minimum clearance was Council policy rather than a statutory requirement.
  6. The other driveways on the road were not as narrow, but they may have been widened before the residents' parking zone was in operation.
  7. If the application was refused, the applicant could resurface the drive and install an electric charging point without altering the entrance but planning permission would still be required due to the listed building status of the property.
  8. It was a matter for the committee to determine how much weight could be given to the Council's declaration of a climate emergency in relation to the application.
  9. The original submission would result in the loss of 1 residents' parking space and the current submission to move both piers would impact on 2 of the spaces. Although the parking spaces were not marked out, the residents' parking scheme was designed to allow for 6m per car which was the standard size for a parking bay. Changing the residents' parking scheme would require a Traffic Regulation Order and it would not be possible to have a trial period to monitor the impact.
  10. The Traffic Regulation Order process was separate to the planning process and if the Committee were minded to approve the application, officers asked members to consider whether a Section 106 Agreement should be pursued to allow the Council to recover the costs from the applicant.

Cllr Lucy Hodge expressed the view that, due to the climate emergency, the weight of public benefit of facilitating the use of an electric car to be parked and charged on the property outweighed the harm to the listed building and proposed that officers be delegated to permit the application subject to suitable conditions. This was seconded by Cllr Paul Crossley. In response to a question of clarification from the lead Planning Officer, Cllrs Hodge and Crossley confirmed that they did not think it was necessary to pursue a Section 106 agreement to ensure that the applicant would pay any costs associated with a related Traffic Regulation Order.

Cllr Hal MacFie stated that the case for the public benefits of the application had been made and he spoke support in the application.

Cllr Eleanor Jackson stated that she would not be supporting the motion as permitting the application would damage the urban design of the area and there could be no guarantee of the continued use of an electric vehicle and charging point by future residents of the property.

Cllr Shelley Bromley stated that she was minded to support the officer recommendation to refuse the application due to the impact on the community of losing an on-street parking space.

### **Vote on item No. 3**

#### **Application No. 322/02560/FUL**

On voting for the motion, it was CARRIED (5 in favour, 4 against and 1 abstention) **RESOLVED** that, in view of the climate emergency and the public benefits of the application outweighing the harm to the listed building, officers be delegated to permit the application subject to appropriate conditions including the submission of details relating to the installation of an electric vehicle charging point and the restoration of the piers.

#### **Vote on item No. 4**

#### **Application No. 22/01578/LBA**

On voting for the motion, it was CARRIED (5 in favour, 4 against and 1 abstention)

**RESOLVED** that, in view of the climate emergency and the public benefits of the application outweighing the harm to the listed building, officers be delegated to permit the application subject to appropriate conditions including the submission of details relating to the installation of an electric vehicle charging point and the restoration of the piers.

#### **Item No. 5**

#### **Application No: 22/01966/FUL**

#### **Site Location: 22 Lambourn Road, Keynsham, Bristol**

The Case Officer introduced the report which related to the application for a hip to gable and dormer loft conversion, single storey rear extension and two storey side extension and confirmed her recommendation that the application be permitted subject to the conditions set out in the report and an additional condition (4) to ensure that the bricks and tiles would match the host dwelling.

The following public representations were received:

1. Sam Fitzgerald, agent, speaking in support of the application.

In response to Members questions, it was confirmed that although there were not many dormer extensions in the road, these could be built under permitted development rights.

Cllr Hal MacFie led the debate as local ward member and expressed the view that the proposed development was not out of keeping as there were a lot of different types of extensions in the area. He moved the officers' recommendation that permission be granted subject to conditions. This was seconded by Cllr Eleanor Jackson.

Cllr Paul Crossley spoke in support of the application and thanked officers for their work in negotiating with the applicant to secure an acceptable development.

On voting for the motion, it was CARRIED (10 in Favour 0 Against)

**RESOLVED** that the application be permitted subject to the conditions set out in the report and an additional condition (4) to ensure that the bricks and tiles would match the host dwelling.

38 **NEW PLANNING APPEALS LODGED, DECISIONS RECEIVED AND DATES OF FORTHCOMING HEARINGS/INQUIRIES**

The Committee considered the appeals report.

In response to Members' questions, officers responded:

1. Withies Green site: the application was on hold after being referred to the Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities and the Council had not been given a timetable for this being resolved.
2. Resourceful Earth had withdrawn its appeal, but the officers had not been advised of the reasons for this decision.

In relation to the 3 Ruskin Road appeal, Cllr Eleanor Jackson passed on the thanks of Westfield Parish Council to officers supporting the appeal and asked for clarification of the dates of the appeal being lodged and determined.

**RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

The meeting ended at 2.02 pm

Chair .....

Date Confirmed and Signed .....

**Prepared by Democratic Services**

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>Bath &amp; North East Somerset Council</b>	
<b>MEETING:</b>	<b>Planning Committee</b>
<b>MEETING DATE:</b>	<b>21st September 2022</b>
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:</b>	Simon de Beer – Head of Planning
<b>TITLE:</b>	<b>APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION</b>
<b>WARDS:</b>	ALL
<b>BACKGROUND PAPERS:</b>	
<b>AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM</b>	

AGENDA  
ITEM  
NUMBER

### BACKGROUND PAPERS

List of background papers relating to this report of the Head of Planning about applications/proposals for Planning Permission etc. The papers are available for inspection online at <http://planning.bathnes.gov.uk/PublicAccess/>.

- [1] Application forms, letters or other consultation documents, certificates, notices, correspondence and all drawings submitted by and/or on behalf of applicants, Government Departments, agencies or Bath and North East Somerset Council in connection with each application/proposal referred to in this Report.
  
- [2] Department work sheets relating to each application/proposal as above.
  
- [3] Responses on the application/proposals as above and any subsequent relevant correspondence from:
  - (i) Sections and officers of the Council, including:
    - Building Control
    - Environmental Services
    - Transport Development
    - Planning Policy, Environment and Projects, Urban Design (Sustainability)
  
  - (ii) The Environment Agency
  - (iii) Wessex Water
  - (iv) Bristol Water
  - (v) Health and Safety Executive
  - (vi) British Gas
  - (vii) Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England (English Heritage)
  - (viii) The Garden History Society
  - (ix) Royal Fine Arts Commission
  - (x) Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
  - (xi) Nature Conservancy Council
  - (xii) Natural England
  - (xiii) National and local amenity societies
  - (xiv) Other interested organisations
  - (xv) Neighbours, residents and other interested persons
  - (xvi) Any other document or correspondence specifically identified with an application/proposal
  
- [4] The relevant provisions of Acts of Parliament, Statutory Instruments or Government Circulars, or documents produced by the Council or another statutory body such as the Bath and North East Somerset Local Plan (including waste and minerals policies) adopted October 2007

**The following notes are for information only:-**

- [1] "Background Papers" are defined in the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 do not include those disclosing "Exempt" or "Confidential Information" within the meaning of that Act. There may be, therefore, other papers relevant to an application which will be relied on in preparing the report to the Committee or a related report, but which legally are not required to be open to public inspection.

- [2] The papers identified or referred to in this List of Background Papers will only include letters, plans and other documents relating to applications/proposals referred to in the report if they have been relied on to a material extent in producing the report.
- [3] Although not necessary for meeting the requirements of the above Act, other letters and documents of the above kinds received after the preparation of this report and reported to and taken into account by the Committee will also be available for inspection.
- [4] Copies of documents/plans etc. can be supplied for a reasonable fee if the copyright on the particular item is not thereby infringed or if the copyright is owned by Bath and North East Somerset Council or any other local authority.


## INDEX

ITEM NO.	APPLICATION NO. & TARGET DATE:	APPLICANTS NAME/SITE ADDRESS and PROPOSAL	WARD:	OFFICER:	REC:
01	22/00687/REG03 28 September 2022	B&NES Council Storage Yard Adjacent To Argyle Works, Lower Bristol Road, Westmoreland, Bath, Bath And North East Somerset Erection of 8 no. affordable homes and associated works, following demolition of existing building.	Westmoreland	Isabel Daone	PERMIT
02	22/01753/FUL 19 July 2022	Tim And Keren Elson 24 The Tynning, Widcombe, Bath, Bath And North East Somerset, BA2 6AL Erection of a single-storey rear extension, a first floor extension over garage, loft conversion with pitched rear dormer, replacement of windows and doors, widening of existing driveway.	Widcombe And Lyncombe	Angus Harris	PERMIT



## **REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PLANNING ON APPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT**

**Item No:** 01  
**Application No:** 22/00687/REG03  
**Site Location:** Storage Yard Adjacent To Argyle Works Lower Bristol Road Westmoreland Bath Bath And North East Somerset



**Ward:** Westmoreland      **Parish:** N/A      **LB Grade:** N/A

**Ward Members:** Councillor Colin Blackburn Councillor June Player

**Application Type:** Regulation 3 Application

**Proposal:** Erection of 8 no. affordable homes and associated works, following demolition of existing building.

**Constraints:** Article 4 HMO, Colerne Airfield Buffer, Agric Land Class 3b,4,5, Air Quality Management Area, Policy B4 WHS - Indicative Extent, Policy B4 WHS - Boundary, British Waterways Major and EIA, Policy CP9 Affordable Housing Zones, Flood Zone 2, HMO Stage 1 Test Area (Stage 2 Test Req), LLFA - Flood Risk Management, MOD Safeguarded Areas, Policy NE1 Green Infrastructure Network, Policy NE5 Ecological Networks, River Avon and Kennet & Avon Canal, SSSI - Impact Risk Zones, Tree Preservation Order,

**Applicant:** B&NES Council

**Expiry Date:** 28th September 2022

**Case Officer:** Isabel Daone

To view the case click on the link [here](#).

### **REPORT**

The main issues to consider are:

- Principle of development
- Character and appearance
- Trees

- Ecology
- Residential amenity
- Flooding
- Contaminated land
- Highway safety and parking
- Sustainable Construction

#### PRINCIPLE OF DEVELOPMENT:

The application site relates to a 0.09-hectare storage yard adjacent to Argyle Works on the Lower Bristol Road. The lawful use of the site is as a Council Highways Maintenance Depot, which falls within a sui-generis use class.

The current Core Strategy and Placemaking Plan does not have any specific policies which would seek to restrict this use and therefore, the loss of the use is not resisted.

The erection of 8no. dwellings located within the built-up area of Bath is considered acceptable in principle, subject to the material considerations below.

#### CHARACTER AND APPEARANCE:

The application site is located on the Lower Bristol Road. This part of the Lower Bristol Road features a mix of building typologies. On the opposite side of the street is four-storey, purpose-built, student accommodation block as well as a small retail park. To the east of the site is Vernon Terrace which consists of a row of two-storey dwellings. Immediately adjacent to the west is a car garage, with a forecourt to the frontage.

Officers consider that the site is read within the context of the Vernon Terrace to the east and Argyle Terrace to the west and should therefore reflect and respect the characters of these dwellings.

The proposed dwellings will be contained within one block. The building will be two-storey, although a third floor will be located in the roof space with dormers to the front and rear. To the frontage, the building will appear terrace like, although officers note this is broken in the middle at ground floor level by the vehicular access to the car parking area. The pitched roof designed reflects the dwellinghouses to the east and west. The street section drawings show the height of the building in relation to the adjacent structures. The ridge of the roof will sit below the roof ridge of Vernon Terrace and Argyle Terrace but is significantly taller than the adjacent garage which is single storey. Officers do not consider the height and massing to be unacceptable in this location and the building is set back within the site, lessening its impact upon the street scene. Overall, officers are satisfied that the design reflects the local character and that the scale and massing is appropriate within its context.

The Bath Preservation Trust have raised that the proposal fails to accord with policy D4 in that it is dominated by car parking. Policy ST7 dictates that this scheme should provide 15 car parking spaces. 8 are being proposed, which already represents a shortfall in respect of the policy standards. The acceptability of this will be assessed in the highways and parking section of this report, but it serves to demonstrate that the amount of parking has already been reduced significantly below the required standards. In terms of the layout of

the plot, the car parking area is located to the rear of the site. The BPT have noted that there is the space to push the building forward and create additional green space to the rear. However, officers consider setting the building back within the site to be appropriate in this case. This enables the front of the site to have space for sufficient green landscaping and for the existing trees to be retained and unimpeded by the development. This part of the Lower Bristol Road is dominated by hard standing and built form, with little soft landscaping. This development represents an opportunity to improve the green infrastructure along this part of the street and therefore officers consider that the siting of the car parking at the rear of the site to be appropriate in this case.

Comments in regard to materials have also been raised. There is little detail in regard to the stone which will be used to finish the majority of the front elevation of the building. It has been confirmed that this will be a natural stone and a materials schedule and samples will be secured by condition. In principle, officers have no objection to the materials proposed on the elevation drawings and consider that a condition can secure the detail. A condition will also be added to secure a schedule and samples for the proposed boundary treatments to ensure a satisfactory finish in this location.

The proposal by reason of its design, siting, scale, massing, layout and materials is acceptable and contributes and responds to the local context and maintains the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The proposal accords with policy CP6 of the adopted Core Strategy (2014) and policies D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and part 12 of the NPPF.

#### WORLD HERITAGE SITE:

The proposed development is within two World Heritage Sites; therefore, consideration must be given to the effect the proposal might have on the outstanding universal values of the World Heritage Sites and their setting. In this instance, due to the size, location and appearance of the proposed development it is not considered that it will result in harm to the outstanding universal values or the setting of the World Heritage Sites. The proposal accords with policy B4 of the adopted Core Strategy (2014) and Policy HE1 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and Part 16 of the NPPF.

#### ARBORICULTURAL IMPLICATIONS:

A Tree Preservation Order was made on 27th November 2020 to protect two Silver Birch growing on either side of the entrance. The trees are considered to make a significant contribution towards this main route into Bath. The scheme has been revised in response to concerns raised by the Council's Arboricultural Officer.

The final iteration of revised plans show that the building has been repositioned 2m further back into the site and away from the protected Silver Birch Trees. The position of the steps have been moved away from the base of the trees and the landscaping around them has been improved and simplified, which should improve the rooting environment. The alterations to the wall beside T1 to allow vehicular access required to address the proposed layout is noted, and it is considered that the contents of the Arboricultural Method Statement within the revised Tree Report is sufficiently detailed so that a pre-commencement condition is not required in this case.

The proposed tree planting show within the Hard and Soft Landscaping Plan is considered acceptable in principle and a detailed scheme can be secured by condition.

#### ECOLOGY:

Revised landscaping details have been submitted in response to the Ecologists comments, which now include a vegetated zone that has been extended around the boundary of the site. The landscape scheme is stated as outline with an indicative species list that is not exhaustive. As such, a detailed landscaping scheme will be secured by condition.

A number of conditions have been recommended by the Council's ecologist to secure wildlife mitigation and enhancement, the removal of Japanese Knotweed and external lighting details which will be added to any decision notice.

#### RESIDENTIAL AMENITY:

Policy D6 sets out to ensure developments provide an appropriate level of amenity space for new and future occupiers, relative to their use and avoiding harm to private amenity in terms of privacy, light and outlook/overlooking.

The proposed building will consist of 8no. dual aspect apartments orientated north south. All of the units meet the Nationally Described Space Standards. The use of these standards is not enshrined in B&NES planning policy, however, provides an indication that the units will provide a sufficient level of amenity for future occupiers.

It has been raised by the Bath Preservation Trust that the proposal does not provide adequate communal or private outdoor amenity space. Officers note that there is little outdoor space within the site and that the space to the frontage is not particularly appealing for occupiers. However, the development is for apartments, and generally it is more accepted that such developments will not have access to outdoor space in the same way a dwellinghouse would. The nearest park (Brickfields Park) is a 6-minute walk away, with Victoria Park being 15 minutes. Given the sustainable location of the development it is considered that the lack of outdoor space on site is not a reason for refusal in this case.

The site is located close to one of the main arterial roads through Bath; the Lower Bristol Road. A Noise Assessment has been submitted to support the application and has been reviewed by the Council's Environmental Protection Team. The report advises that extra mitigation measures are required to protect future residents from Traffic Noise and such measures can be secured by condition. In additional a construction management plan will be conditioned to help protect the residential amenity of the neighbouring occupiers.

Overall, it is considered that the proposed development will result in a good level of amenity for future occupiers.

The residential amenity of the neighbouring occupiers must also be considered. The submitted site plans demonstrate that the building will be adjacent to existing built form, residential to the east and a garage to the west. This limits any potential impacts for overbearing and overshadowing.

Officers note that there will be some additional overlooking to the neighbouring properties, particularly into the garden area of the property to the rear. However, this property is already overlooked to a degree and given the high density of the residential development in this location, some overlooking is to be expected. There will not be any direct views into windows on these properties which would significantly harm the residential amenity of the neighbouring occupiers and there have been no objections from residents. Officers consider that an acceptable level of residential amenity will be maintained for neighbouring residents and as such the proposal complies with policy D6.

Given the design, scale, massing and siting of the proposed development the proposal would not cause significant harm to the amenities of any occupiers or adjacent occupiers through loss of light, overshadowing, overbearing impact, loss of privacy, noise, smell, traffic or other disturbance. The proposal accords with policy D6 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and part 12 of the NPPF.

#### DRAINAGE, FLOODING AND CONTAMINATION:

##### Sequential Test:

The site is largely located in Flood Zone 1, but a small section of the northern part of the site is within Flood Zone 2. Residential development is classified as "more vulnerable". As such, the NPPF and NPPG, as well as Core Strategy Policy CP5 conclude that residential development in these locations can be appropriate provided that it is demonstrated that there are no any sequentially preferable sites that are reasonably available in a lower flood zone - Flood Zone 1 in this case.

The NPPG dictates that the definition of an appropriate area of search should be defined by local circumstances, as should any assessment of the availability of any more sequentially preferable sites.

The applicant has presented a number of criteria against which the sequential test has been formulated. Officers have reviewed these criteria and consider them acceptable and reasonable. Based on these criteria, 35 sites were identified within the Bath Urban Area for further investigation.

Officers agree with the assessment of the identified sites. The applicant has highlighted that 2 of the sites identified do have a likelihood of coming forward for development and may, therefore, represent a sequentially preferable site. The first had a live application at the time the sequential test was drafted - 21/06677/FUL and it has been noted by the applicant that this site could be permitted. However, since this was drafted, the 21/06677/FUL has been refused and it cannot be considered a sequentially preferable site.

The second site is Avon Buildings where a prior approval application was approved for the demolition of two buildings on site which has been undertaken. The site does not have an existing consent, nor has it been marketed as a housing opportunity.

Officers consider that the sequential test has been met and is passed.

##### Other Flooding Matters:

In accordance with the NPPF and Core Policy Strategy CP5, a Flood Risk Assessment has been submitted and the Environment Agency have been consulted. They have no objection to the FRA subject to a condition securing compliance with the document and the mitigation measures being implemented. Officers consider this condition to be reasonable and necessary.

The Councils' Drainage team have requested a condition securing a detailed drainage design to ensure surface water is appropriately managed and this is considered acceptable.

#### Contamination and Flooding:

A Contamination report has been submitted with the application which has been assessed by the Council's Contaminated Land Officer and the Environment Agency. A limited site investigation has been undertaken, which confirms the presence of contamination, although none of this contamination appears significant.

However, the investigation is limited in scope and the assessment with regards to controlled waters is not sufficient in relation to the measured concentrations. The desk study appears to identify the possibility that Volatile Organic Compounds may be present, however no laboratory testing has taken place.

The piling works at the site also require a robust risk assessment and oversight package. The report states that the "Avon Act" is likely to apply which restricts the depth of piling to 15m. However, the Environment Agency consider that this may not be sufficiently shallow to protect any Hot Springs below the site. The Environment Agency have not recommended a condition with regards to piling and have stated that it is the assessment of B&NES Council as the relevant authority to assess how best to protect the Bath Hot Springs in relation to piling. Such a condition is considered reasonable and necessary in this case and will be added to any decision notice.

It is considered that further details in regard to contamination can be secured by condition and as such conditions securing a remediation scheme, verification report and unexpected contamination reporting will be attached to the decision notice.

Subject to these conditions being attached, the Environment Agency and Contaminated Land Officer have no objection to the scheme.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING:

The site is located within an Air Quality Management Area. Policy PCS3 states that development will only be permitted where the proposal does not give rise to polluting emissions which have an unacceptable adverse impact on air quality, health, the natural or built environment or local amenity. The development should not be located where it is at unacceptable risk from existing sources of air pollution and any new development should be consistent with the local air quality action plan.

An Air Quality Assessment has been submitted as part of the application and the methodology is broadly acceptable. The Council's Environmental Monitoring Officer has

queried whether the impact of changing the building height on the street canyon has been factored into the assessment. The applicant has confirmed that this has been factored in. Concern was also raised in regard to the emissions from the garage next door. However, this garage is a small MOT garage which is not considered likely to have a significant effect on air quality for new occupiers. There are other residential properties located in the same proximity to the garage site.

A construction management plan will be secured to control dust from construction.

#### HIGHWAYS SAFETY AND PARKING:

Policy ST7 states that development will only be permitted provided, amongst other things, the development avoids an increase in on street parking in the vicinity of the site which would detract from highway safety and/ or residential amenity.

Accessibility, public transport, walking and cycling:

While the site is in a relatively accessible location, the Lower Bristol Road is not a suitable environment for most people to cycle given the number of vehicles which use this route each day. However, there is a shared used cycle route some 90m to the west of the site which gives access to the Bath and North East Somerset cycle route network. There are footways on both sides of the road which are of adequate quality, and these give access to nearby bus stops as well as other local services. The footway immediately bordering the site is only 1.4m wide and ideally this would be increased to 2m. However, it is acknowledged that this is an existing situation and there would be a negligible change in the number of trips generated by the development to justify any changes.

Trip impact and highway capacity

The trips associated with 8no. flats will be relatively insignificant compared to the volume of traffic carried by the Lower Bristol Road. The development will also result in a cessation of trips associated with the existing land use. The highway is capable of accommodating the traffic associated with the development.

Access, layout, highway safety

The existing access to the site is substandard by today's highway design guidelines and the existing pavement adjacent to the site is relatively narrow.

The proposed access modifications will deliver a betterment in terms of reducing the height of the boundary wall to improve vehicle visibility splays and reducing the width of the access, whilst maintaining adequate width for two vehicles to pass. Whilst a visibility splay of 2.4m by 43m would usually be sought, this could not be achieved without third party land and what is proposed is a significant betterment to the existing situation. As such, it is considered acceptable in this case.

Car parking, cycle parking, electric vehicle charging

The site is located in the outer zone for Bath and therefore the parking required for the dwelling under the current policy (ST7) is 15 no. car parking spaces, comprising 13

unallocated spaces and 2no. visitor spaces. 8no. spaces are being proposed which represents a shortfall of 7no. spaces against current standards.

The Placemaking Plan says that a significant reduction in the proposed parking for the prescribed standards will need to be fully justified by an accessibility assessment. An accessibility assessment was submitted and allows a 25% reduction in the number of spaces required against the current policy; therefore, 12 spaces are required representing a shortfall of 4 spaces against the current standards.

Officers note that as part of the Local Plan Partial Update, a new Transport Supplementary Planning Document is being drafted which proposes updated parking standards for car and cycle parking. This has not yet been adopted. Under the new parking standards, 9.25 spaces will be required for the development, which represents a shortfall of 1.25 spaces. The accessibility assessment score would allow for a reduction under the new standards of up to 35% which would mean that the number of car parking spaces proposed (8) would be acceptable if this SPD were adopted and whilst not adopted it is to be noted.

In regard to cycle parking, 16no. spaces are proposed and under the current policy ST7, 18no. spaces are required which represents a shortfall of 2no. space. However, if the new SPD were to be adopted the development would require between 13no. and 16no. spaces.

As such, the proposed parking does not accord with the current policy standards and in this respect, the scheme is not policy compliant. A further assessment of this is made in the planning balance section below.

Waste and emergency access

Waste and emergency access vehicles would access the site from the Lower Bristol Road which is acceptable.

Travel plan

Whilst the proposal is not of such a scale that would require a full residential travel plan, it is recommended that resident's travel packs should be issued to new occupiers, and this can be secured by condition.

#### SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY:

Policy CP2 of the Placemaking Plan has regard to Sustainable construction. The policy requires sustainable design and construction to be integral to all new development in B&NES and that a sustainable construction checklist (SCC) is submitted with application evidencing that the prescribed standards have been met.

For minor new build development, a 58.5% reduction in CO2 emissions is required by sustainable construction. In this case the submitted SCC shows that a 21% CO2 emissions reduction has been achieved from energy efficiency and/or renewables. Therefore, the proposed development is compliant with policy CP2 in this instance.



Policy SCR5 of the emerging Placemaking Plan requires that all dwellings meet the national optional Building Regulations requirement for water efficiency of 110 litres per person per day. This can be secured by condition.

Policy SCR5 also requires all residential development to include a scheme for rainwater harvesting or other method of capturing rainwater for use by residents (e.g. water butts). These matters can be secured by a relevant planning condition.

Policy LCR9 states that all residential development will be expected to incorporate opportunities for local food growing (e.g. border planting, window boxes, vertical planting, raised beds etc.).

## LOW CARBON AND SUSTAINABLE CREDENTIALS

The policies contained within the development plan are aimed at ensuring development is sustainable and that the impacts on climate change are minimised and, where necessary, mitigated. A number of policies specifically relate to measures aimed at minimising carbon emissions and impacts on climate change. The application has been assessed against the policies as identified and these have been fully taken into account in the recommendation made.

### EQUALITIES:

Officers have had regard to the Public Sector Equality Duty. Upon reaching the recommendation, it is the officer's judgement that there are not any particular equalities impacts that would weigh in favour of refusing the application or seeking to amend the proposals further. Officers consider that Section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010 has been met.

### PLANNING BALANCE:

The proposal fails to accord with policy ST7 of the development plan as there is a shortfall of parking against the current adopted standards for both vehicles and cycles.

In this case, officers consider that the merits of the scheme must be balanced against the fact that a non-compliant number of parking spaces are being proposed.

The proposed units are to be located in a sustainable location, which is within walking distance of local shops, services and public transport links.

The scheme will deliver 100% affordable units on a Brownfield Site within the Bath Urban Area and this is significant. The site is heavily constrained with two TPO trees to the frontage. Officers consider that more parking could, in theory, be provided at the front of the site, but this would be to the significant detriment of the visual amenity of the area. The scheme is considered to be well designed and able to provide ecological and visual enhancements to the locality.

Studies have also shown that car ownership is generally lower for lower income households. The proposed units will be available for social-rent (the Council's preferred tenure) and will be accessed by those on lower incomes, reducing the likelihood of car

ownership. The benefits of providing 8, social-rent properties within this location are considered to outweigh the harms of a lack of car parking.

It is also noted that were the application to be assessed against the new SPD (should it be adopted) it would likely be policy compliant.

Therefore, on balance, officers consider that the development should be permitted subject to conditions. It is recognized that, as the proposal is not in accordance with policy ST7, granting permission would represent a departure from the development plan. The scheme has therefore been advertised as such.

The fact the proposal is providing 100% affordable dwellinghouses weighs heavily into the planning balance and officers therefore consider that a condition securing an affordable housing scheme is reasonable and necessary to secure suitable affordable housing in perpetuity at the site. A legal agreement cannot be sought in this instance because the Council is the applicant and cannot enter into a legal agreement with itself.

## **SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS/REPRESENTATIONS**

### Consultation Responses:

Education: No objection

Contaminated Land: No objection subject to conditions

Housing Services: No objection; fully support

Environmental Protection: No objection subject to conditions

Environmental Monitoring: No objection subject to conditions

### Highways:

21st March 2022: Scope for revision

8th April 2022: Scope for revision

29th July 2022: If the application was determined today, the proposed car and cycle parking would not meet the minimum parking standards in the adopted B&NES Placemaking Plan. The applicant has demonstrated that the proposed parking standards would meet the draft Transport SPD, but at the current time highways understand this only carries very limited weight as it has not been through examination or adoption as council policy.

### Ecology:

23rd March 2022: Revision to the landscape/planting scheme is required

28th May 2022: No revisions or additional information appear to have been submitted specifically to address ecology comments

5th August 2022: No objection subject to conditions

### Arboriculture:

24th March 2022: Objection  
30th May 2022: Objection  
1st August 2022: No objection subject to conditions

Drainage and Flooding:

15th March 2022: Scope for revision  
28th July 2022: Scope for revision  
30th August 2022: No objection subject to conditions

Environment Agency:

30th August 2022: No objection subject to conditions

Representations Received:

One comment of support has been received:

In support of this application - important social housing to include those most in need in B&NES.

Two comments have been received and are summarised as follows:

- Site address is wrong
- House Automobile Engineers not associated with the development

Bath Preservation Trust have commented on the application and their comments are summarised as follows:

- In principle, BPT is strongly in favour of the provision of affordable housing within the city to meet high demand and address the housing crisis
- Concerns in regard to design
- Dominance of car parking; building could be pulled forward
- Lack of private outdoor amenity space
- Highly sustainable location and so less car parking could be an option
- Roadside areas of greenspace not safe for children
- Further details of stone required
- Material use unclear

## **POLICIES/LEGISLATION**

The Core Strategy for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 10th July 2014. The Core Strategy now forms part of the statutory Development Plan and will be given full weight in the determination of planning applications. The Development Plan for Bath and North East Somerset comprises:

- o Bath & North East Somerset Core Strategy (July 2014)
- o Bath & North East Somerset Placemaking Plan (July 2017)
- o West of England Joint Waste Core Strategy (2011)
- o Bath & North East Somerset saved Local Plan policies (2007) not replaced by the Core Strategy or the Placemaking Plan:
  - Policy GDS.1 Site allocations and development requirements (policy framework)
  - Policy GDS.1/K2: South West Keynsham (site)
  - Policy GDS.1/NR2: Radstock Railway Land (site)

- Policy GDS.1/V3: Paulton Printing Factory (site)
- Policy GDS.1/V8: Former Radford Retail System's Site, Chew Stoke (site)
- o Made Neighbourhood Plans

#### Core Strategy:

The Core Strategy for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 10th July 2014. The following policies of the Core Strategy are relevant to the determination of this application:

- B1: Bath Spatial Strategy
- B4: The World Heritage Site and its Setting
- CP2: Sustainable Construction
- CP3: Renewable Energy
- CP5: Flood Risk Management
- CP6: Environmental Quality
- CP9: Affordable Housing
- CP10: Housing Mix
- DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy
- SD1: Presumption in favour of sustainable development

#### Placemaking Plan:

The Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 13th July 2017. The following policies of the Placemaking Plan are relevant to the determination of this application:

- D1: General urban design principles
- D2: Local character and distinctiveness
- D3: Urban fabric
- D5: Building design
- D6: Amenity
- NE3: Sites, species and habitats
- NE5: Ecological networks
- NE6: Trees and woodland conservation
- ST7: Transport requirements for managing development
- H7: Housing accessibility
- SCR1: On-site renewable energy requirement
- SCR5: Water efficiency
- SU1: Sustainable drainage policy
- LCR9: Increasing the provision of local food growing
- PC55: Contamination

#### National Policy:

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in February 2019 and is a material consideration. Due consideration has been given to the provisions of the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG).

#### SPD's:

The City of Bath World Heritage Site Setting Supplementary Planning Document (August 2013) is also relevant in the determination of this planning application.

## LOW CARBON AND SUSTAINABLE CREDENTIALS

The policies contained within the development plan are aimed at ensuring development is sustainable and that the impacts on climate change are minimised and, where necessary, mitigated. A number of policies specifically relate to measures aimed at minimising carbon emissions and impacts on climate change. The application has been assessed against the policies as identified and these have been fully taken into account in the recommendation made.

## OFFICER ASSESSMENT

The application site relates to a 0.09-hectare storage yard adjacent to Argyle Works on the Lower Bristol Road. The lawful use of the site is as a Council Highways Maintenance Depot, which falls within a sui-generis use class.

Planning permission is sought for the erection of 8 no. affordable dwellings and associated works.

The applicant for this development is Bath and North East Somerset Council. The Planning Scheme of Delegation States:

Any applications for which the Council or ADL (Aequus Developments Limited) is the applicant, involving more than two properties, will be reported to the Planning Committee unless the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Planning Committee deem them too trivial, in which case they will be dealt with under officer delegation.

The Chair and Vice Chair have had site of the Officer's Chair report and have the following comments:

Chair:

The officer has worked with the applicant to bring most of this proposal into compliance with our policies, subject to the conditions noted above. The one outstanding issue is the amount of available parking. As the applicant is B&NES, I believe this proposal should therefore be debated by the committee in a public forum.

Vice Chair:

I have looked carefully at the plans & note the modifications made to address issues raised by consultees as the application has been assessed against relevant planning policies & assessed as policy compliant as it stands.

However, as this application is on behalf of the Council, although it can be delegated for Officer decision, I recommend it is determined by the planning committee, so the process allows any issues to be debated in a public arena.

Relevant Planning History:

13/03956/FUL  
PERMIT - 5 November 2013

Change of use of store room to residential flat.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

PERMIT

## **CONDITIONS**

### **1 Standard Time Limit (Compliance)**

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: As required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) and to avoid the accumulation of unimplemented planning permission.

### **2 Affordable Housing Scheme (Pre-commencement)**

Prior to the commencement of the development hereby approved, an Affordable Housing Scheme to secure 100% affordable housing shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall detail the following:

1. The affordable housing mix
2. The tenure of the affordable units
3. The allocation standards
4. The management standards

The affordable units on the site shall be permanently retained as affordable units in accordance with the submitted Affordable Housing Scheme and shall not be occupied otherwise than in accordance with that scheme.

Reason: To ensure that the affordable housing is retained on site in perpetuity in accordance with Policy CP9.

### **3 Construction Management Plan (Pre-commencement)**

No development shall commence until a Construction Management Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This shall include details of the following:

1. Deliveries (including storage arrangements and timings);
2. Contractor parking;
3. Traffic management;
4. Working hours;
5. Site opening times;
6. Wheel wash facilities;
7. Site compound arrangements;
8. Measures for the control of dust
9. Temporary arrangements for householder refuse and recycling collection during construction.
10. The sound power levels of the equipment, their location, and proposed mitigation methods to protect residents from noise and dust

The construction of the development shall thereafter be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that safe operation of the highway and in the interests of protecting residential amenity in accordance with policies D6, PCS3 and ST7 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan. This is a pre-commencement condition because any initial construction or demolition works could have a detrimental impact upon highways safety and/or residential amenity.

#### **4 Dust Control (Pre-commencement)**

No development shall commence until a Construction Dust Environmental Management Plan for all works of construction and demolition has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Construction Environmental Management Plan shall comply with the guidance the BRE Code of Practice on the control of dust from construction and demolition activities. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the amenities of the occupants of adjacent residential properties in accordance with Policies D6 and PCS3 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan. This condition is a precedent because the construction activities have the potential to harm the neighbouring occupiers.

#### **5 Contaminated Land - Remediation Scheme (Pre-commencement)**

No development shall commence, except for ground investigations and demolition required to undertake such investigations, until a detailed remediation strategy to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site in respect of the development hereby permitted, has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This strategy will include the following components:

1. A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:
  - i) All previous uses
  - ii) Potential contaminants associated with those uses
  - iii) A conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors
  - iv) Potentially unacceptable risks arising from the contamination of the site
2. A site investigation scheme, based on the findings of (1) above to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off-site
3. The results of the site investigation and the detail risk assessment referred to in (2) and based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken
4. A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in the remediation strategy in (3) are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action

The remediation scheme shall ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.

The approved remediation scheme shall be carried out prior to the commencement of development, other than that required to carry out remediation, or in accordance with the approved timetable of works.

Reason: To protect the water environment and to ensure that the development does not contribute to and is not put at unacceptable risk from or adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of water pollution in line with paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework. This is a pre-commencement condition because the initial works comprising the development have the potential to uncover harmful contamination.

#### **6 Japanese Knotweed Eradication (Pre-commencement)**

Prior to the commencement of works, excavations, or vegetation clearance on site full details of a scheme and a method statement for the eradication of Japanese Knotweed shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be in accordance with current best practice guidelines and legislation and shall include details of timescales, methodology, personnel, long term monitoring and remediation measures. The approved scheme shall thereafter be implemented in accordance with approved details and timescales.

Reason: to prevent the spread of Japanese Knotweed from the site and to eliminate it to avoid future risk of its spread or harm to property and ecology. The above condition is required to be pre-commencement as it involves approval of measures to ensure protection of wildlife that would be otherwise harmed during site preparation and construction phases.

#### **7 Detailed Drainage Design (Pre-commencement)**

No development shall commence, except ground investigations and remediation, until a detailed drainage design based on the approved Flood Risk Assessment / outline drainage strategy (demonstrating that that surface water will be managed within the site using sustainable drainage principles so as to prevent any increase in onsite or offsite flood risk) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The design will include plans, structure details and calculations demonstrating performance of the system at the critical 1:1, 1:30 and 1:100+ climate change events. The submission also needs to demonstrate how the proposed drainage system will be maintained to perform to the design standard for the life of the development, with an operation and maintenance manual.

Reason: To ensure that an appropriate method of surface water drainage is installed and in the interests of flood risk management in accordance with Policy CP5 of the Bath and North East Somerset Core Strategy and Policy SU1 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan. This is a condition precedent because it is necessary to ensure that a suitable drainage scheme is agreed and installed prior to the building being constructed.

#### **8 Piling controls (Bespoke Trigger)**

No piling or other penetrative founding methods are permitted unless details have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any proposals for foundation methods that interconnect/span different ground strata and geology must be supported by an assessment of the risks to controlled waters. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details.



Reason: To protect controlled waters and to ensure that the development does not contribute to and is not put at unacceptable risk from or adversely affected by unacceptable levels of water pollution in line with paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

### **9 Landscape Design Proposals (Bespoke Trigger)**

No development beyond slab level shall take place until full details of both hard and soft landscape proposals and programme of implementation have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall include, as appropriate:

1. Proposed finished levels or contours
2. Means of enclosure
3. Car parking layouts
4. Other vehicle and pedestrian access and circulation areas
5. Hard surfacing materials
6. Minor artefacts and structures (e.g. outdoor furniture, play equipment, refuse or other storage units, signs, lighting)
7. Proposed and existing functional services above and below ground (eg drainage, power, communication cables, pipelines, etc, indicating lines, manholes, supports etc)
8. Retained historic landscape features and proposals for restoration, where relevant

Soft landscape details shall include:

1. Planting plans
2. Written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment)
3. Schedules of plants, noting species, planting sizes and proposed numbers / densities
4. All ecological measures and planting /habitat provision as described in the ecological report

Reason: To ensure the provision of amenity and a satisfactory quality of environment afforded by appropriate landscape design, in accordance with policies D1, D2, D4 and NE2 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

### **10 Implementation of Landscaping Scheme (Bespoke Trigger)**

All hard and soft landscape works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The works shall be carried out prior to the occupation of any part of the development or in accordance with the programme of implementation agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Any trees or plants indicated on the approved scheme which, within a period of 10 years from the date of the development being completed, die, are removed, or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced during the current or first available planting season with other trees or plants of species, size and number as originally approved unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation. All hard and soft landscape works shall be retained in accordance with the approved details for the lifetime of the development.

Reason: To ensure that the landscape works are implemented and maintained to ensure the continued provision of amenity and environmental quality in accordance with policies D1, D2 and NE2 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

### **11 Materials - Submission of Schedule and Samples (Bespoke Trigger)**

No construction of the external walls of the development shall commence until a schedule of materials and finishes, and samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces, including roofs, have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The schedule shall include:

1. Detailed specification of the proposed materials (Type, size, colour, brand, quarry location, etc.);
2. Photographs of all of the proposed materials;
3. An annotated drawing showing the parts of the development using each material.

The development shall thereafter be carried out only in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of the appearance of the development and the surrounding area in accordance with policies D1, D2, D3 and D5 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan and policy CP6 of the Bath and North East Somerset Core Strategy.

### **12 Boundary Treatment Submission of Schedule and Samples (Bespoke Trigger)**

No construction of the boundary treatments shall commence until a schedule of materials for the boundary treatments, including the front boundary walls has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The schedule shall include:

1. Detailed specification of the proposed materials (Type, size, colour, brand, quarry location, etc.)
2. Photographs of all of the proposed materials;
3. An annotated drawing showing the parts of the development using each material.
4. A sample panel of the front boundary wall showing the re-construction

The development shall thereafter be carried out only in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of the appearance of the development and the surrounding area in accordance with policies D1, D2, D3 and D5 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan and policy CP6 of the Bath and North East Somerset Core Strategy.

### **13 Contaminated Land - Verification Report (Pre-occupation)**

No occupation shall commence until a verification report that demonstrates the completion of the works set out in the approved remediation strategy and the effectiveness of the remediation carried out has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The report shall include results of sampling and monitoring carried out in accordance with the approved verification plan to demonstrate that the site remediation criteria have been met.

Reason: To ensure that the site does not pose any further risk to the water environment by demonstrating that the requirements of the approved verification plan have been met

and that remediation of the site is complete in accordance with policy PCS5 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan and chapter 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### **14 Compliance (Bespoke Trigger)**

No development or other operations shall take place except in complete accordance with the approved Arboricultural Method Statement (Ethos Environmental Planning July 2022). A signed compliance statement shall be provided by the appointed Arboriculturalist to the Local Planning Authority within 28 days of completion and prior to the first occupation of the buildings.

Reason: To ensure that the approved method statement is complied with for the duration of the development to protect the trees to be retained in accordance with policy NE6 of the Placemaking Plan

#### **15 Ecological Compliance Statement (Pre-occupation)**

No occupation of the development hereby approved shall commence until a report produced by a suitably experienced professional ecologist (based on post-construction on-site inspection by the ecologist) confirming and demonstrating, using photographs, adherence to and completion of the ecological measures and recommendations of the approved Ecological Assessment in accordance with approved details, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To demonstrate compliance with the ecological mitigation and compensation measures, to prevent ecological harm and to provide biodiversity gain in accordance with NPPF and policies NE3, NE5 and D5e of the Bath and North East Somerset Local Plan.

#### **16 Indoor Acoustic Insulation (Pre-occupation)**

Prior to the occupation of any of the dwelling units hereby approved, an assessment from a competent person(s) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority to demonstrate that the development has been constructed to provide sound attenuation against external noise in accordance with BS8233:2014. The following levels must be demonstrated to have been achieved:

1. Maximum internal noise levels of 35dBLAeq,16hr and 30dBLAeq,8hr for living rooms and bedrooms during the daytime and night time respectively;
2. For bedrooms at night individual noise events (measured with F timeweighting) shall not (normally) exceed 45dBLAmax.

Reason: To protect the residential amenity of occupiers in accordance with policy PCS2 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

#### **17 Contaminated Land - Unexpected Contamination (Compliance)**

In the event that contamination which was not previously identified is found at any time when carrying out the approved development, it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter an investigation and risk assessment shall be undertaken, and where remediation is necessary, a remediation scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme, a verification report (that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out) must be

submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to occupation of the development.

Reason: In order to ensure that the land is suitable for the intended uses and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors and in accordance with policy PCS5 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan and chapter 15 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

### **18 Wildlife Mitigation Scheme (Compliance)**

The development hereby approved shall be carried out only in accordance with Section 8 of the Ecological Assessment by Ethos Ltd dated November 2021. All such measures shall be adhered to retained and maintained thereafter for the purpose of wildlife conservation.

Reason: to avoid harm to ecology including protected species and to avoid net loss of biodiversity.

### **19 External Lighting (Bespoke Trigger)**

No new external lighting shall be installed until full details of the proposed lighting design have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall include:

1. Lamp models and manufacturer's specifications, positions, numbers and heights;
2. Predicted lux levels and light spill;
3. Measures to limit use of lights when not required, to prevent upward light spill and to prevent light spill onto nearby vegetation and adjacent land.

The lighting shall be installed and operated thereafter in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To avoid harm to bats and wildlife in accordance with policy CP6 of the Bath and North East Somerset Core Strategy and policies NE3 and D8 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

### **20 Infiltration Drainage (Bespoke Trigger)**

No drainage systems for the infiltration of surface water to the ground are permitted other than with the written consent of the Local Planning Authority. Any proposals for such systems must be supported by an assessment of the risks to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason

To protect the water environment and to ensure that the development does not contribute to and is not put at unacceptable risk from or adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of water pollution in line with paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

### **21 Parking (Compliance)**

The areas allocated for vehicle and cycle parking and turning on drawing number ARG-AHR-ZZ-00-DR-A-90-001 P14; shall be kept clear of obstruction and shall not be used other than for the parking of vehicles in connection with the development hereby permitted.

Reason: To ensure sufficient parking and turning areas are retained at all times in the interests of amenity and highways safety in accordance with policies D6 and ST7 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

## **22 Plans List (Compliance)**

The development/works hereby permitted shall only be implemented in accordance with the plans as set out in the plans list below.

Reason: To define the terms and extent of the permission.

### **PLANS LIST:**

1 This decision relates to the following plans:

ARG-AHR-BA-00-DR-A-20-000 P9. Apartment Plans- Sheet 1. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-BA-02-DR-A-20-000 P8. Apartment Plans- Sheet 2. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-BA-ZZ-DR-A-20-150 P7. Block A- Elevations- Sheet 1. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-BA-ZZ-DR-A-20-151 P7. Block A- Elevations- Sheet 2. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-BA-ZZ-DR-A-20-200 P3. Block Sections. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-ZZ-00-DR-A-90-001 P14. Site Plan - Ground Floor. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-ZZ-01-DR-A-90-001 P4. Site Plan - First Floor. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-ZZ-02-DR-A-90-001 P4. Site Plan - Second Floor. Received 19th July 2022  
ARG-AHR-ZZ-05-DR-A-90-001 P3. Site Plan - Roof. Received 19th July 2022  
Tree Protection Plan. Received 12th May 2022

## **2 Permit/Consent Decision Making Statement**

In determining this application the Local Planning Authority considers it has complied with the aims of paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

## **3 Condition Categories**

The heading of each condition gives an indication of the type of condition and what is required by it. There are 4 broad categories:

Compliance - The condition specifies matters to which you must comply. These conditions do not require the submission of additional details and do not need to be discharged.

Pre-commencement - The condition requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before any work begins on the approved development. The condition will list any specific works which are exempted from this restriction, e.g. ground investigations, remediation works, etc.

Pre-occupation - The condition requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before occupation of all or part of the approved development.

Bespoke Trigger - The condition contains a bespoke trigger which requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before a specific action occurs.

Please note all conditions should be read fully as these headings are intended as a guide only.

Where approval of further information is required you will need to submit an application to Discharge Conditions and pay the relevant fee via the Planning Portal at [www.planningportal.co.uk](http://www.planningportal.co.uk) or post to Planning Services, Lewis House, Manvers Street, Bath, BA1 1JG.

#### **4 Community Infrastructure Levy - General Note for all Development**

You are advised that as of 6 April 2015, the Bath & North East Somerset Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule came into effect. CIL may apply to new developments granted by way of planning permission as well as by general consent (permitted development) and may apply to change of use permissions and certain extensions. **Before** commencing any development on site you should ensure you are familiar with the CIL process. If the development approved by this permission is CIL liable there are requirements to assume liability and notify the Council **before any development commences**.

**Do not commence development** until you have been notified in writing by the Council that you have complied with CIL; failure to comply with the regulations can result in surcharges, interest and additional payments being added and will result in the forfeiture of any instalment payment periods and other reliefs which may have been granted.

#### **Community Infrastructure Levy - Exemptions and Reliefs Claims**


The CIL regulations are non-discretionary in respect of exemption claims. If you are intending to claim a relief or exemption from CIL (such as a "self-build relief") it is important that you understand and follow the correct procedure **before** commencing **any** development on site. You must apply for any relief and have it approved in writing by the Council then notify the Council of the intended start date **before** you start work on site. Once development has commenced you will be unable to claim any reliefs retrospectively and CIL will become payable in full along with any surcharges and mandatory interest charges. If you commence development after making an exemption or relief claim but before the claim is approved, the claim will be forfeited and cannot be reinstated.

Full details about the CIL Charge including, amount and process for payment will be sent out in a CIL Liability Notice which you will receive shortly. Further details are available here: [www.bathnes.gov.uk/cil](http://www.bathnes.gov.uk/cil). If you have any queries about CIL please email [cil@BATHNES.GOV.UK](mailto:cil@BATHNES.GOV.UK)

#### **5 Responding to Climate Change (Informative):**

The council is committed to responding to climate change. You are advised to consider sustainable construction when undertaking the approved development and consider using measures aimed at minimising carbon emissions and impacts on climate change.

**Item No:** 02  
**Application No:** 22/01753/FUL  
**Site Location:** 24 The Tying Widcombe Bath Bath And North East Somerset BA2 6AL



**Ward:** Widcombe And Lyncombe      **Parish:** N/A      **LB Grade:** N/A

**Ward Members:** Councillor Alison Born      Councillor Winston Duguid

**Application Type:** Full Application

**Proposal:** Erection of a single-storey rear extension, a first floor extension over garage, loft conversion with pitched rear dormer, replacement of windows and doors, widening of existing driveway.

**Constraints:** Article 4 Bath Demolition Wall, Article 4 Reg 7: Estate Agent, Article 4 HMO, Colerne Airfield Buffer, Agricultural Land Classification, Policy B4 WHS - Indicative Extent, Policy B4 WHS - Boundary, Conservation Area, Policy CP9 Affordable Housing Zones, MOD Safeguarded Areas, Policy NE3 SNCI, Policy NE5 Ecological Networks, SSSI - Impact Risk Zones,

**Applicant:** Tim And Keren Elson

**Expiry Date:** 19th July 2022

**Case Officer:** Angus Harris

To view the case click on the link [here](#).

## REPORT

The application refers to a semi-detached property at 24 The Tying, Widcombe, Bath, BA2 6AL.

Planning permission is sought for the erection of a single-storey rear extension, a first floor extension over garage, loft conversion with pitched rear dormer, replacement of windows and doors, widening of existing driveway.

Relevant Planning History:

There is no relevant planning history on this site.

## **SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS/REPRESENTATIONS**

Consultation Responses :

Cllr Alison Born and Cllr Winston Duguid :

A number of residents have voiced concern about this application. Not about the principle of extending and modernising the house but about the detail of the proposed plans. The housing on this side of the Tynning comprises pairs of semi-detached 1930's houses which face onto the Edwardian properties across the road. While minor modifications have been made to the 1930's houses, there is a uniformity to their appearance and the garages between the properties provide wide gaps that allow light through to the similar homes behind in Tynning End. Residents are concerned that the current plans will:

- o Disrupt the homogeneity of the street and detract from the streetscape in the conservation area.
- o Set a precedent that could lead to significant changes in future.
- o Block light from the properties behind which will be a particular problem in the winter months.
- o The roof dormer will be out of keeping with all the other houses on that side of the road and will overlook the properties behind. A Velux window would be far more acceptable.
- o The first floor extension is felt to be particularly problematic and is an over development whereas a single story rear garage extension, as has been done to other properties in the row would be un-contentious.

Ecology:

Following my previous comments dated 15th June 2022, a Bat and Bird Building Assessment produced by Nicholas Pearson Associates dated August 2022 has been submitted and is welcomed.

Ecology Survey

The report provides the result of a building inspection and subsequent bat surveys undertaken by suitably qualified ecologists. The roof of the main dwelling was identified as being in poor condition, with numerous lifted, cracked and missing tiles on the east and west aspects and along the ridge, providing potential roosting features for crevice dwelling bat species. No evidence of roosting bats or nesting birds was found during the external inspection. However, based on the number and type of features present, the property was considered to have moderate potential to support roosting bats and some limited potential to support nesting birds. The garage roof was in poor condition but no features for roosting bats were identified so was considered to offer negligible potential for nesting birds and roosting bats.



Two emergence/re-entry survey were undertaken in accordance with best practice guidance. Surveys were spaced at least two weeks apart. No bats were recorded emerging or re-entering the building during either of the July surveys. These results are accepted. The precautionary measures to protect nesting birds, as per Section 5 of the report would be supported and can be secured by condition.

#### Lighting

The recommendation for a sensitive external lighting design would be supported and should be secured by condition.

#### Net Gain

The recommendation to include an integrated bat (box) & bird box, hedgehog connectivity measures and a green roof would be supported. If consent is granted, a scheme of ecological compensation and enhancement should be secured by condition.

Conclusion: Subject to the conditions below then I have no objection to the scheme.

#### Representations Received :

12no objections have been received, summarised into the following points:

- The extensions are out of keeping with the other properties,
- No precedent for 2-storey side extensions or rear dormers.
- The scale of the side extension and dormer are out of keeping with the conservation area,
- The scale of the works are out of keeping with adjacent properties
- The works set a dangerous precedent for future extensions
- The rear dormer will provide views toward rear gardens and rear properties of Tynning End,
  
- The local character has a pattern of single storey garages and open spacing between the properties and the first floor extension above the garage is out of keeping with this character, with potential for a terraced feeling,
- The erection of the dormer introduced a new feature not currently present in the local area,
- The first floor side extension will impact the symmetry and integrity of the semi-detached pair
- Loss of light for the neighbour at number 25,
- Garage foundations may be unsuitable to accommodate the first floor extension,
- The dormer window is not in keeping and a suggestion for velux windows would retain privacy whilst providing light,
- The single storey rear extension will be built up to the boundary, having a detrimental effect on the neighbouring property by loss of light.
- Loss of the front garden would impact the character of the conservation and should be resisted during the climate crisis
- Addition of the side window is obtrusive and should be removed or obscure glazed.

#### **POLICIES/LEGISLATION**

The Core Strategy for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 10th July 2014. The Core Strategy now forms part of the statutory Development Plan

and will be given full weight in the determination of planning applications. The Development Plan for Bath and North East Somerset comprises:

- o Bath & North East Somerset Core Strategy (July 2014)
- o Bath & North East Somerset Placemaking Plan (July 2017)
- o West of England Joint Waste Core Strategy (2011)
- o Bath & North East Somerset saved Local Plan policies (2007) not replaced by the Core Strategy or the Placemaking Plan:
  - Policy GDS.1 Site allocations and development requirements (policy framework)
  - Policy GDS.1/K2: South West Keynsham (site)
  - Policy GDS.1/NR2: Radstock Railway Land (site)
  - Policy GDS.1/V3: Paulton Printing Factory (site)
  - Policy GDS.1/V8: Former Radford Retail System's Site, Chew Stoke (site)
- o Made Neighbourhood Plans

#### Core Strategy:

The Core Strategy for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 10th July 2014. The following policies of the Core Strategy are relevant to the determination of this application:

- B1: Bath Spatial Strategy
- B4: The World Heritage Site and its Setting
- CP6: Environmental Quality
- DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy
- SD1: Presumption in favour of sustainable development

#### Placemaking Plan:

The Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset was formally adopted by the Council on 13th July 2017. The following policies of the Placemaking Plan are relevant to the determination of this application:

- D1: General urban design principles
- D2: Local character and distinctiveness
- D.3: Urban fabric
- D.5: Building design
- D.6: Amenity
  
- HE1: Historic environment
- ST7: Transport requirements for managing development

#### National Policy:

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in August 2021 and is a material consideration. Due consideration has been given to the provisions of the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG).

#### SPD's:

The City of Bath World Heritage Site Setting Supplementary Planning Document (August 2013) is also relevant in the determination of this planning application.

Conservation Areas:

In addition, there is a duty placed on the Council under Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act to pay special attention to the preservation or enhancement of the character of the surrounding Conservation Area.

## LOW CARBON AND SUSTAINABLE CREDENTIALS

The policies contained within the development plan are aimed at ensuring development is sustainable and that the impacts on climate change are minimised and, where necessary, mitigated. A number of policies specifically relate to measures aimed at minimising carbon emissions and impacts on climate change. The application has been assessed against the policies as identified and these have been fully taken into account in the recommendation made.

## OFFICER ASSESSMENT

The main issues to consider are:

- Character and Appearance
- Bath World Heritage Site
- Conservation Area
- Residential Amenity
- Ecology

## CHARACTER AND APPEARANCE:

Policy D1, D2, D3 and D5 of the Placemaking Plan have regard to the character and appearance of a development and its impact on the character and appearance of the host building and wider area. Development proposals will be supported, if amongst other things they contribute positively to and do not harm local character and distinctiveness. Development will only be supported where, amongst other things, it responds to the local context in terms of appearance, materials, siting, spacing and layout and the appearance of extensions respect and complement their host building.

The proposal seeks the erection of a first floor side extension, a single storey rear extension, and a rear dormer to facilitate a loft conversion.

The first floor side extension will be situated above the existing garage. It will form a hipped roof to match that of the host dwelling. The ridge height will be set substantially below that of the main roof and the eaves will be set at the lower eaves height, retaining the overhanging flared eaves featured to the sides of this building. This side extension remains subservient to the host property. By remaining set back from the principle elevation, the extension provides a visual break between itself and the main dwelling. As such, the proposal is not considered to unbalance the semi-detached pair.

Objections have been received to the extension breaking the symmetry of the building and appearing out of character with the street.

24 The Tynning is a semi-detached property situated to the southern end of the street on the east side. The building has a differing design and character to its neighbours. It has a hipped roof and bay windows to the front at the ground and first floor. A notable feature are the overhanging flared eaves to the sides and the dwelling utilises Bath ashlar stone with stone window sills and clay roof tiles.

To the west side of the street, the dwellings are larger and have been defined within the Draft Widcombe and the Kennet and Avon Canal Character Appraisal as large Victorian villas. These are 3-storeys in height with mansard roofs and small dormers to the front and rear. These also utilise bath stone but with slate roof tiles to the roof.

The adjacent properties on the east side of the street are bath stone, semi-detached properties with dual, front facing gables and rear, hipped projections which continue the roof to the rear. The sides of these properties' roofs have been designed to form a hip which are stepped back from the centre of the building. Some of these properties have been modified with single storey garages to the sides, with a variety of hipped, flat and gabled roofs.

"The 1930's housing in the Tynning is constructed from Bath Stone, and there are examples of small details on some of these buildings that make reference to the earlier Victorian buildings adjacent to them e.g. an interrupted stone string course between the ground and first floor windows"

The character of the building at 24 The Tynning differs to its neighbours. The proposed first-floor side extension remains subservient, utilises matching materials and shares similarities with the sides of the neighbouring properties which are stepped back from the front facing gables. The works are not considered to be out of character with the host building or the wider historic environment.

The works also propose a single storey rear extension. This extension will measure the full width of the dwelling, forming a flat roof with timber cladding to the sides. While more contemporary in nature, this extension is limited in height to a single storey and is restricted to the rear of the building. As such, it is not considered to result in harm to the character of the host building or the wider historic environment.

A dormer window is proposed to the rear roof slopes, facilitating a loft conversion. The dormer window will form a dual pitched roof with a rear facing gable. It has been set down from the main ridge and gable from the eaves. Objection has been received to the dormer being out of character. Small dormers are present within the mansard roof of the villa style dwellings on the west side of The Tynning, and the rest of the dwellings on the east side of the road form rear projecting hips at the roof level. The formation of a rear dormer is not considered to be harmful to the character of the host building or the wider historic environment.

The proposal by reason of its design, siting, scale, massing, layout and materials is acceptable and contributes and responds to the local context and maintains the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The proposal accords with policy CP6 of the adopted Core Strategy (2014) and policies D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and part 12 of the NPPF.

The proposed development is within the World Heritage Site, therefore consideration must be given to the effect the proposal might have on the setting of the World Heritage Site. In this instance, due to the size, location and appearance of the proposed development it is not considered that it will result in harm to the outstanding universal values of the wider World Heritage Site. The proposal accords with policy B4 of the adopted Core Strategy (2014) and Policy HE1 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and Part 16 of the NPPF.

#### CONSERVATION AREA:

Policy HE1 requires development that has an impact upon a heritage asset, whether designated or non-designated, will be expected to enhance or better reveal its significance and setting.

There is a duty placed on the Council under Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act to pay special attention to the preservation or enhancement of the character of the surrounding conservation area. In this case by virtue of the design, scale, massing, position and the external materials of the proposed development it is considered that the development would at least preserve the character and appearance of this part of the Conservation Area and its setting. The proposal accords with policy CP6 of the adopted Core Strategy (2014) and policy HE1 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and Part 12 of the NPPF.

#### RESIDENTIAL AMENITY:

Policy D.6 sets out to ensure developments provide an appropriate level of amenity space for new and future occupiers, relative to their use and avoiding harm to private amenity in terms of privacy, light and outlook/overlooking.

Objection is received to the loss of light resulting from the formation of the first floor side extension on the adjacent neighbour at number 27. The side extension will be situated above the existing garage and will sit adjacent to the side of the neighbouring dwelling and garage. The formation of the hipped roof with lowered eaves softens the overall impact of the extension. A daylight assessment has been submitted with the application demonstrating the negligible impact of the side extension in shadowing the side of the neighbouring property.

Objection has also been received to the loss of privacy as a result of the rear dormer on the rear gardens and windows of the properties on Tynning End. While the dormer will provide rear facing windows at an elevated position, the dwellings of The Tynning all have first floor windows which face the east, towards the rear of these properties on Tynning End. The dormer window is not considered to result in an unacceptable increase in overlooking or loss of privacy.

Given the design, scale, massing and siting of the proposed development the proposal would not cause significant harm to the amenities of any occupiers or adjacent occupiers through loss of light, overshadowing, overbearing impact, loss of privacy, noise, smell, traffic or other disturbance. The proposal accords with policy D6 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and part 12 of the NPPF.

## HIGHWAYS SAFETY AND PARKING:

Policy ST7 states that development will only be permitted provided, amongst other things, the development avoids an increase in on street parking in the vicinity of the site which would detract from highway safety and/ or residential amenity.

The means of access and parking arrangements are acceptable and maintain highway safety standards. The proposal accords with policy ST7 of the Placemaking Plan for Bath and North East Somerset (2017) and part 9 of the NPPF.

## ECOLOGY:

The application site is situated within a 300m buffer zone of the nearby Smallcombe Wood Site of Nature Conservation Interest (SNCI). Due to the works impacting the roofspace, a Protected Species survey has been undertaken and consultation has been sought with the Banes Ecology Team. The Bat and Bird Building Assessment dated August 2022 included emergence/re-entry surveys and the results found no recordings of roosting species or activity. As such, no objection was raised subject to the inclusion of conditions to secure sensitive external lighting and the provision of biodiversity net gain features.

## OTHER MATTERS:

Objections have raised concerns regarding the suitability of the foundations of the garage to support a first floor extension. A building control application would be required for the works and the proposal would need to comply with building regulations in this regard.

## CONCLUSION:

It is therefore considered that the proposal complies with the relevant planning policies as outlined above and the proposal is recommended for approval.

## RECOMMENDATION

PERMIT

## CONDITIONS

### 1 Standard Time Limit (Compliance)

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: As required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) and to avoid the accumulation of unimplemented planning permission.

### 2 Materials (Compliance)

All external walling and roofing materials to be used shall match those of the existing building in respect of type, size, colour, pointing, coursing, jointing, profile and texture.

Reason: In the interests of the appearance of the development and the surrounding area in accordance with Policies D.2 and D.4 of the Bath and North East Somerset Local Plan and Policy CP6 of the Bath and North East Somerset Core Strategy.

### **3 Precautionary Working Methods (Compliance Condition)**

Works must proceed only in accordance with the following measures for the protection of wildlife such as nesting birds:

- o a careful visual check for signs of active bird nests shall be made of the hedge (due for pruning) the interior and exterior of the building and its roof, and any crevices and concealed spaces, prior to any works affecting these areas; and
- o active nests shall be protected undisturbed until the young have fledged.

Reason: to avoid harm to wildlife and protected species.

### **4 Wildlife Enhancement (Pre-Occupation)**

No occupation of the development hereby approved shall commence until:

(a) an integrated bird box, an integrated bat box and hedgehog access points (as per Section 5 of the approved Bat and Bird Building Assessment produced by Nicholas Pearson Associates dated August 2022) have been installed/created on site. In addition, provision of an additional feature to benefit wildlife, to include, for example, wildlife-friendly planting or a green roof shall be incorporated into the scheme.

(b) a brief statement confirming and demonstrating, using photographs, completion of the measures in part (a) of this condition, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

All such measures and features shall be retained and maintained thereafter for the purposes of providing wildlife habitat

Reason: to provide biodiversity gain in accordance with NPPF.

### **5 External Lighting (Bespoke Trigger)**

No new external lighting shall be installed until full details of the proposed lighting design have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These details shall include:

1. Lamp models and manufacturer's specifications, positions, numbers and heights; and
2. Measures to limit use of lights when not required, to prevent upward light spill and to prevent light spill onto nearby vegetation and adjacent land.

The lighting shall be installed and operated thereafter in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To avoid harm to bats and wildlife in accordance with Policies NE3 and D8 of the Bath and North East Somerset Local Plan.

### **6 Obscure Glazing and Non-opening Window (Compliance)**

The proposed first-floor side window shall be obscurely glazed and non-opening unless the parts of the window which can be opened are more than 1.7m above the floor of the room in which the window is installed. Thereafter the window shall be permanently retained as such.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of adjoining occupiers from overlooking and loss of privacy in accordance with policy D6 of the Bath and North East Somerset Placemaking Plan.

### **7 Plans List (Compliance)**

The development/works hereby permitted shall only be implemented in accordance with the plans as set out in the plans list below.

Reason: To define the terms and extent of the permission.

### **PLANS LIST:**

1 This decision relates to the following plans:

Drawing - 28 Apr 2022 - 2204 - PL00 A - EXISTING AND PROPOSED LOCATION PLANS

Drawing - 28 Apr 2022 - 2204 - PL02 - EXISTING FLOOR PLANS

Drawing - 28 Apr 2022 - 2204 - PL03 - EXISTING ELEVATIONS

Drawing - 28 Apr 2022 - 2204 - PL04 A - PROPOSED FLOOR PLANS

Drawing - 23 May 2022 - 2204 - PL01 B - EXISTING AND PROPOSED SITE PLANS

Drawing - 23 May 2022 - 2204 - PL05 B - PROPOSED ELEVATIONS

Drawing - 23 May 2022 - 2204 - PL06 B - EXISTING AND PROPOSED SECTION AA

OS Extract - 18 May 2022 - SITE LOCATION PLAN

### **2 Permit/Consent Decision Making Statement**

In determining this application the Local Planning Authority considers it has complied with the aims of paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

### **3 Condition Categories**

The heading of each condition gives an indication of the type of condition and what is required by it. There are 4 broad categories:

**Compliance** - The condition specifies matters to which you must comply. These conditions do not require the submission of additional details and do not need to be discharged.

**Pre-commencement** - The condition requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before any work begins on the approved development. The condition will list any specific works which are exempted from this restriction, e.g. ground investigations, remediation works, etc.

**Pre-occupation** - The condition requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before occupation of all or part of the approved development.

**Bespoke Trigger** - The condition contains a bespoke trigger which requires the submission and approval of further information, drawings or details before a specific action occurs.

Please note all conditions should be read fully as these headings are intended as a guide only.



Where approval of further information is required you will need to submit an application to Discharge Conditions and pay the relevant fee via the Planning Portal at [www.planningportal.co.uk](http://www.planningportal.co.uk) or post to Planning Services, Lewis House, Manvers Street, Bath, BA1 1JG.

#### **4 Community Infrastructure Levy - General Note for all Development**

You are advised that as of 6 April 2015, the Bath & North East Somerset Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule came into effect. CIL may apply to new developments granted by way of planning permission as well as by general consent (permitted development) and may apply to change of use permissions and certain extensions. **Before** commencing any development on site you should ensure you are familiar with the CIL process. If the development approved by this permission is CIL liable there are requirements to assume liability and notify the Council **before any development commences**.

**Do not commence development** until you been notified in writing by the Council that you have complied with CIL; failure to comply with the regulations can result in surcharges, interest and additional payments being added and will result in the forfeiture of any instalment payment periods and other reliefs which may have been granted.

#### **Community Infrastructure Levy - Exemptions and Reliefs Claims**

The CIL regulations are non-discretionary in respect of exemption claims. If you are intending to claim a relief or exemption from CIL (such as a "self-build relief") it is important that you understand and follow the correct procedure **before** commencing **any** development on site. You must apply for any relief and have it approved in writing by the Council then notify the Council of the intended start date **before** you start work on site. Once development has commenced you will be unable to claim any reliefs retrospectively and CIL will become payable in full along with any surcharges and mandatory interest charges. If you commence development after making an exemption or relief claim but before the claim is approved, the claim will be forfeited and cannot be reinstated.

Full details about the CIL Charge including, amount and process for payment will be sent out in a CIL Liability Notice which you will receive shortly. Further details are available here: [www.bathnes.gov.uk/cil](http://www.bathnes.gov.uk/cil). If you have any queries about CIL please email [cil@BATHNES.GOV.UK](mailto:cil@BATHNES.GOV.UK)

#### **5 Responding to Climate Change (Informative):**

The council is committed to responding to climate change. You are advised to consider sustainable construction when undertaking the approved development and consider using measures aimed at minimising carbon emissions and impacts on climate change.

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>Bath &amp; North East Somerset Council</b>	
<b>MEETING:</b>	<b>Planning Committee</b>
<b>MEETING DATE:</b>	<b>21 September 2022</b>
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:</b>	Simon de Beer – Head of Planning
<b>TITLE:</b>	<b>NEW PLANNING APPEALS, DECISIONS RECEIVED AND DATES OF FORTHCOMING HEARINGS/INQUIRIES</b>
<b>WARD:</b>	ALL
<b>BACKGROUND PAPERS:</b>	None
<b>AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM</b>	

AGENDA ITEM NUMBER
--------------------------

**APPEALS LODGED**

**App. Ref:** 21/04147/FUL  
**Location:** Frome House Lower Bristol Road Westmoreland Bath Bath And North East Somerset  
**Proposal:** Enlargement of Frome House and associated change of use from office (Use class E(g)) (Excluding existing ground floor tyre repair centre) to 66 student bedspaces and associated works.  
**Decision:** REFUSE  
**Decision Date:** 10 February 2022  
**Decision Level:** Planning Committee  
**Appeal Lodged:** 24 August 2022

---

**App. Ref:** 22/00235/FUL  
**Location:** 6 Summerleaze Keynsham Bristol Bath And North East Somerset BS31 2BZ  
**Proposal:** Erection of 2 storey side extension  
**Decision:** REFUSE  
**Decision Date:** 11 May 2022  
**Decision Level:** Delegated  
**Appeal Lodged:** 2 September 2022

---

## APPEALS DECIDED

**App. Ref:** 21/00419/EFUL  
**Location:** Resourceful Earth Ltd Charlton Field Lane Queen Charlton Bristol Bath And North East Somerset  
**Proposal:** Development of an Anaerobic Digester Facility (including retention of the existing Feedstock Reception Building, Digester Tank (x5), Storage Tank, CHP Engine (x4), Transformer, GRP Substation, GRP Technical Room (x5) and Gas Equipment) to produce both gas and electricity for injection into the local grid networks, alongside the restoration of the former Queen Charlton Quarry Site with ecological and landscape enhancements  
**Decision:** REFUSE  
**Decision Date:** 10 March 2022  
**Decision Level:** Planning Committee  
**Appeal Lodged:** 25 May 2022  
**Appeal Decision:** Appeal Withdrawn  
**Appeal Decided Date:** 19 August 2022

---

**App. Ref:** 21/04803/AGRN  
**Location:** Land West Of Barrow Castle Rush Hill Odd Down Bath Bath And North East Somerset  
**Proposal:** Erection of agricultural barn.  
**Decision:** REFUSE  
**Decision Date:** 15 November 2021  
**Decision Level:** Delegated  
**Appeal Lodged:** 20 June 2022  
**Appeal Decision:** Appeal Dismissed  
**Appeal Decided Date:** 5 September 2022

---

## **FORTHCOMING HEARINGS & INQUIRIES**

App. Ref: 21/04147/FUL

Location: Frome House, Lower Bristol Road, Westmoreland, Bath, BA2 1EY

Proposal: Enlargement of Frome House and associated change of use from office (Use class E(g)) (Excluding existing ground floor tyre repair centre) to 66 student bedspaces and associated works.

Decision: REFUSE

Decision Date: 10th February 2022

Decision Level: Planning Committee

Appeal Lodged: 24 August 2022

Hearing to be held on 22<sup>nd</sup> November at Kaposvar Room, Guildhall, Bath

This page is intentionally left blank